

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,455	02/27/2002	Motohiro Kawahito	JP920000420US1	1801
7590 12/20/2006 ANNE V. DOUGHERTY 3173 CEDAR RD.			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, CHRYSTINE .	
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/20/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	10/085,455	KAWAHITO ET AL.			
		Art Unit			
	Chrystine Pham	2192			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

 	(1811 R181) 1888 1111 1881
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for	or Review filed Oct. 25, 2006.
 Improper Request – The Request is impropreason(s): 	per and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed continuous The request does not include reasons who ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	
The time period for filing a response continues to the mail date of the last Office communication, if r	run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing the running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, we	Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been use there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant the with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal is decision, or the balance of the two-month time period whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the sed upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	·
3. Allowable application – A conference has Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the meri applicant at this time.	been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of its remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has b action will be mailed. No further action is required	peen held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office d by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Chrystine Pham.	(3) <u>Eddie C. Lee</u> l.
(2) Tuan Dam	

the